

UNITED STATES ARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED II	NVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO).
08/787,745	01/24/97	HOEFLICH		Ţ.	29124-009	
_		QM11/0922	\neg		EXAMINER	
H.D. GOLF DE	VELOPMENT,	INC.	•	BLAU,	9	

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3711

DATE MAILED: 09/22/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

2036 PAWNEE POINT

LAFAYETTE CO 80026

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/787,745

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Stephen Blau

Group Art Unit 3711

Hoeflich

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] a) X expires 4 months from the mailing date of the final re	
	ection.
 expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejeis later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the rejection. 	ection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever esponse expire later than six months from the date of the final
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for	ne date of the response and also the date for the purposes of
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 3	of Appeal filed on <u>Sep 4, 1998</u> (or within any 7 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Sep 4, 199</u> but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowa	8 has been considered with the following effect, nce:
★ The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Ap	peal Brief.
🛛 will not be entered because:	
X they raise new issues that would require further conside	ration and/or search. (See note below).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better for issues for appeal.	rm for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
they present additional claims without cancelling a corre	sponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: The length of the shaft added to claim 3 requires fu	rther consideration and/or search.
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s The changes to the specification would overcome the objection)	
Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable	would be allowable if submitted in a claims.
X The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been or	
for allowance because: <u>The argument that it would not have been obvious to have a b</u>	onsidered but does NOT place the application in condition utt end diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with.
for allowance because:	utt end diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with. s require smaller butt end diameters.
The argument that it would not have been obvious to have a be Players come in all sizes and smaller players with smaller hand. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not the Examiner in the final rejection.	utt end diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with. s require smaller butt end diameters. t directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
The argument that it would not have been obvious to have a bear players come in all sizes and smaller players with smaller hand. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not the Examiner in the final rejection. X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (so	utt end diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with. s require smaller butt end diameters. t directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
The argument that it would not have been obvious to have a beause it is not the Examiner in the final rejection. In purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (status allowed: As stated on the Final Office Action	that the end diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with. Is require smaller butt end diameters. It directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by see attached written explanation, if any):
The argument that it would not have been obvious to have a bear players come in all sizes and smaller players with smaller hand. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not the Examiner in the final rejection. X For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (so	utt end diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with. s require smaller butt end diameters. t directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
The argument that it would not have been obvious to have a bear players come in all sizes and smaller players with smaller hand. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (so Claims allowed: As stated on the Final Office Action Claims objected to: As stated on the Final Office Action	att end diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with. Is require smaller butt end diameters. It directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by see attached written explanation, if any):
The argument that it would not have been obvious to have a bear players come in all sizes and smaller players with smaller hand. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (so Claims allowed: As stated on the Final Office Action Claims objected to: As stated on the Final Office Action Claims rejected: As stated on the Final Office Action	that the diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with. Is require smaller butt end diameters. It directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by see attached written explanation, if any): That has not been approved by the Examiner.
The argument that it would not have been obvious to have a beause it is not the Examiner in the final rejection. To purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (so Claims allowed: As stated on the Final Office Action Claims rejected: As stated on the Final Office Action Claims rejected: As stated on the Final Office Action The proposed drawing correction filed on	that the diameter of a .460 inches is disagreed with. Is require smaller butt end diameters. It directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by see attached written explanation, if any): That has not been approved by the Examiner.

Application/Control Number: 08/787,745

discloses child's golf clubs (Col. 2 Ln. 55).

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments—

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 1. disclosure. Huang discloses that it is desired to have the profile of a grip and shaft to assume the configuration corresponding to the size and shape of a golfer's hand (Col. 6 Lns. 5-9). Patterson

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 2. should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (703) 308-2712. The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Mark Graham whose telephone number is (703) 308-1355. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

slb/18 September 1998

Page 2